ORIE6217/CS6384: Applied Bayesian Data Analysis for Research Lecture 3: Priors and multivariate models Nikhil Garg

Announcements

Lecture plan

- Priors $P(\theta)$
- Multivariate models
 - Normal distribution
 - Regression

Priors

Priors

- Conjugate prior (BDA3 p. 35)
- Noninformative prior (BDA3 p. 51)
- Proper and improper prior (BDA3 p. 52)
- Weakly informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Informative prior (BDA3 p. 55)
- Prior sensitivity (BDA3 p. 38)

Conjugate prior

- Prior and posterior have the same form
 - only for exponential family distributions (plus for some irregular cases)
- Used to be important for computational reasons, and still sometimes used for special models to allow partial analytic marginalization (Ch 3)
 - with dynamic Hamiltonian Monte Carlo used e.g. in Stan no computational benefit

Beta prior for Binomial model

• Prior

$$\mathsf{Beta}(\theta|\alpha,\beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

• Posterior

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) \propto \theta^{y} (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$
$$\propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1}$$

after normalization

$$p(\theta|y, n, M) = \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

- (α 1) and (β 1) can considered to be number of prior observations
- Uniform prior when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$

Placenta previa

• Beta prior centered on population average 0.485



Placenta previa

• Beta prior centered on population average 0.485



— Posterior with unif prior — Prior — Posterior

Placenta previa

• Beta prior centered on population average 0.485



Noninformative prior, proper and improper prior

- Vague, flat, diffuse, or noninformative
 - try to "to let the data speak for themselves"
 - flat is not non-informative
 - flat can be stupid
 - making prior flat somewhere can make it non-flat somewhere else
- Proper prior has $\int p(\theta) = 1$
- Improper prior density doesn't have a finite integral
 - the posterior can still sometimes be proper

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty

Weakly informative priors

- Weakly informative priors produce computationally better behaving posteriors
 - quite often there's at least some knowledge about the scale
 - useful also if there's more information from previous observations, but not certain how well that information is applicable in a new case uncertainty
- Construction
 - Start with some version of a noninformative prior distribution and then add enough information so that inferences are constrained to be reasonable.
 - Start with a strong, highly informative prior and broaden it to account for uncertainty in one's prior beliefs and in the applicability of any historically based prior distribution to new data.
- Stan team prior choice recommendations <u>https://github.com/</u> <u>stan-dev/stan/wiki/Prior-Choice-Recommendations</u>

Multivariate models

Normal distribution



Calculate posterior for normal distribution with uninformative prior

Marginal posterior $p(\sigma^2 \mid y)$ (easier for σ^2 than σ)

$$p(\sigma^{2} | \mathbf{y}) \propto \int p(\mu, \sigma^{2} | \mathbf{y}) d\mu$$

$$\propto \int \sigma^{-n-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}\left[(n-1)s^{2}+n(\bar{\mathbf{y}}-\mu)^{2}\right]\right) d\mu$$

$$\propto \sigma^{-n-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(n-1)s^{2}\right)$$

$$\int \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2\sigma^{2}}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}-\mu)^{2}\right) d\mu$$

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(y-\theta)^{2}\right) d\theta = 1$$

$$\propto \sigma^{-n-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}}(n-1)s^{2}\right) \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}/n}$$

$$\propto (\sigma^{2})^{-(n+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(n-1)s^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

$$p(\sigma^{2} | \mathbf{y}) = \ln v \cdot \chi^{2}(\sigma^{2} | n-1, s^{2})$$

=> Posterior for
$$\sigma^2$$

Known mean

$$\sigma^2 \mid y \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(n, v)$$

where $v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta)^2$

Unknown mean

$$\sigma^2 \mid y \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(n-1,s^2)$$

where $s^2 = rac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$

Posterior for μ

Marginal posterior $p(\mu \mid y)$

$$p(\mu \mid y) = \int_0^\infty p(\mu, \sigma^2 \mid y) d\sigma^2$$

$$\propto \int_0^\infty \sigma^{-n-2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{y}-\mu)^2\right]\right) d\sigma^2$$

Transformation

$$A = (n-1)s^2 + n(\mu - \bar{y})^2$$
 and $z = \frac{A}{2\sigma^2}$

$$p(\mu \mid y) \propto A^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty z^{(n-2)/2} \exp(-z) dz$$

Recognize gamma integral $\Gamma(u) = \int_0^\infty x^{u-1} \exp(-x) dx$

$$\propto [(n-1)s^{2} + n(\mu - \bar{y})^{2}]^{-n/2}$$
$$\propto \left[1 + \frac{n(\mu - \bar{y})^{2}}{(n-1)s^{2}}\right]^{-n/2}$$
$$p(\mu \mid y) = t_{n-1}(\mu \mid \bar{y}, s^{2}/n) \quad \text{Student's } t$$

This is a lot of math!

Let's see what this looks like in [Stan] code

Regression

We can also do regression models in Stan

Logistic regression definition:

$$y \sim \text{Bernoulli} \left(\text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha + \beta * x) \right)$$

Where
 1

$$logit^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{1 + exp(-z)}$$

 α : intercept

 $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$: coefficient vector

Let's see what this looks like in code

What about priors for regression?

Priors are equivalent to regularization!

- Normal prior $\sigma = 1/\sqrt{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow$ L2 regularization
- Laplace prior \Leftrightarrow L1 regularization

Read more: <u>https://bjlkeng.github.io/posts/probabilistic-interpretation-</u> <u>of-regularization/</u>

[Look at code]

Questions?